

Cambridge International AS & A Level

BIOLOGY

Paper 5 Planning, Analysis and Evaluation MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 30 9700/52 May/June 2022

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2022 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

Science-Specific Marking Principles

- 1 Examiners should consider the context and scientific use of any keywords when awarding marks. Although keywords may be present, marks should not be awarded if the keywords are used incorrectly.
- 2 The examiner should not choose between contradictory statements given in the same question part, and credit should not be awarded for any correct statement that is contradicted within the same question part. Wrong science that is irrelevant to the question should be ignored.
- 3 Although spellings do not have to be correct, spellings of syllabus terms must allow for clear and unambiguous separation from other syllabus terms with which they may be confused (e.g. ethane / ethene, glucagon / glycogen, refraction / reflection).
- 4 The error carried forward (ecf) principle should be applied, where appropriate. If an incorrect answer is subsequently used in a scientifically correct way, the candidate should be awarded these subsequent marking points. Further guidance will be included in the mark scheme where necessary and any exceptions to this general principle will be noted.

5 <u>'List rule' guidance</u>

For questions that require *n* responses (e.g. State **two** reasons ...):

- The response should be read as continuous prose, even when numbered answer spaces are provided.
- Any response marked *ignore* in the mark scheme should not count towards *n*.
- Incorrect responses should not be awarded credit but will still count towards *n*.
- Read the entire response to check for any responses that contradict those that would otherwise be credited. Credit should **not** be awarded for any responses that are contradicted within the rest of the response. Where two responses contradict one another, this should be treated as a single incorrect response.
- Non-contradictory responses after the first *n* responses may be ignored even if they include incorrect science.

6 <u>Calculation specific guidance</u>

Correct answers to calculations should be given full credit even if there is no working or incorrect working, **unless** the question states 'show your working'.

For questions in which the number of significant figures required is not stated, credit should be awarded for correct answers when rounded by the examiner to the number of significant figures given in the mark scheme. This may not apply to measured values.

For answers given in standard form (e.g. $a \times 10^n$) in which the convention of restricting the value of the coefficient (a) to a value between 1 and 10 is not followed, credit may still be awarded if the answer can be converted to the answer given in the mark scheme.

Unless a separate mark is given for a unit, a missing or incorrect unit will normally mean that the final calculation mark is not awarded. Exceptions to this general principle will be noted in the mark scheme.

7 <u>Guidance for chemical equations</u>

Multiples / fractions of coefficients used in chemical equations are acceptable unless stated otherwise in the mark scheme.

State symbols given in an equation should be ignored unless asked for in the question or stated otherwise in the mark scheme.

Α	1. Correct	\checkmark		F	1. Correct	\checkmark	
	2. Correct	~	2	(4 responses)	2. Correct	✓	2
	3. Wrong	×			3. Correct CON (of 3.)	× (discount 3)	-
В	1. Correct, Correct	√ , √					
(4 responses)	2. Correct	✓	3	G	1. Correct	✓	
· · /	3. Wrong	lignore	-	(5 responses)	2. Correct	\checkmark	
	o. mong	lightere			3. Correct	v	3
С	1. Correct	 ✓ 			Correct CON (of 4.)	ignore ignore	
(4 responses)	2. Correct, Wrong	√ , ×	2			5	
	3. Correct	ignore		н	1. Correct	✓	
				(4 responses)	2. Correct	×	2
D	1. Correct	 ✓ 			3. CON (of 2.)	(discount 2)	
(4 responses)	2. Correct, CON (of 2.)	×, (discount 2)	2		Correct	\checkmark	
	3. Correct	 ✓ 					
		1		I	1. Correct	✓	
E	1. Correct	\checkmark		(4 responses)	2. Correct	×	2
(4 responses)	2. Correct	 ✓ 	3		3. Correct	\checkmark	
	3. Correct, Wrong	\checkmark			CON (of 2.)	(discount 2)	

Mark scheme abbreviations

;	separates marking points alternative answers for the same point
R	reject
A	accept (for answers correctly cued by the question, or by extra guidance)
AW	alternative wording (where responses vary more than usual)
<u>underline</u> max	actual word given must be used by candidate (grammatical variants accepted) indicates the maximum number of marks that can be given
ora	or reverse argument
mp	marking point (with relevant number)
ecf	error carried forward
I	ignore

Question			Answer		Mark
1(a)(i)	width of red area afte	er soaking			1
	or width of red area ren	naining;			
1(a)(ii)	axes correctly labelle	ed for independent <u>a</u>	<u>nd</u> dependent variable ;		2
	line / smooth curve, s	showing inverse corr	relation ;		
1(b)(i)	 2 minimum 5 cube 3 widths evenly sp 4 equipment for cu 5 calculate / AW, ti 6 cubes are fully, of 7 remove after, sa 8 method of mainti 9 method of drying 10 cut in half and m 11 repeat experime 	utting / measurement he SA:V ratio ; covered / submerged me / stated, time (m aining the same tem g cubes (after soakin heasure the width of	t be within range 0.5–5 c t of cubes ; d, (by calcium hypochlor inutes) <i>;</i> nperature (once cubes a ng) ; the red tissue after soal ce <u>and</u> calculate a mear	ite); re immersed); king;	7
	hazard	risk	precaution		
	calcium hypochlorite	Irritant / harmful / toxic / corrosive	gloves / goggles PPE / tweezers		
	scalpel	cuts / injury, to <u>skin</u>	cut away from fingers / cut on a tile		
	beetroot	allergen	gloves		

Question	Answer	Mark
1(b)(ii)	1 Initial volume of (red)cube – volume final red cube 2 ×100 ;	2
1(c)(i)	17% (student 4 at 65°C) ; mean given of 89.3 at 25°C ;	2
1(c)(ii)	 any two from: 1 all (cubes of) same, size / volume / dimension / number / SA:V; 2 use, same / same age of, beetroot; 3 sufficient water to cover the cubes completely; 4 same volume of water in each beaker; 5 same colorimeter; 6 stir / AW, before taking sample for colorimeter reading; 7 check / AW, temperature of water in beakers have equilibrated; 8 zero colorimeter / calibrate, before each readings; 9 use thermostatically controlled water-bath; 	2
1(c)(iii)	 more (betalain) <u>released</u> as temperature increased or as temperature increases more betalain released ; max 1 from: membrane, fluidity / permeability / AW, increases as temperature increases ; membrane proteins denature, at <u>high(er)</u> temperatures ; 	2

Question	tion Answer	
2(a)	<i>any three from:</i> 1 capture a large sample (from one area / more than one area) ;	3
	 2 count / record, the number caught; 3 test and, count / record, the number infected; 	
	4 number / population, of infected divided by, population / total number, × 100 ;	
	5 mark-release- <u>re</u> capture method <u>and</u> Lincoln Index ;	
2(b)(i)	numbers (of infected badgers / cows) per unit area ;	2
	allow (valid) comparison (between infected cows and infected badgers);	
2(b)(ii)	any three from:	3
	1 method of testing (for bTB in badgers and cows);	
	2 year of data collection ;	
	3 type / breed / of, cattle ;	
	 4 cattle kept, outdoors / indoors ; 5 number of areas counted each year ; 	
	6 season / time of year, (data collected) ;	
2(c)(i)	no, correlation / relationship, between the number of infected badgers and the number of affected cows. or	1
	no, correlation / relationship, in the occurrence of infection between badgers and cows ;	

Question	Answer			
2(c)(ii)	max 2	3		
	support			
	1 combined data / A–J / graph / Fig 2.2, shows a positive, correlation / relationship / correct correlation described.			
	 combined data / A–J / graph / Fig 2.2, shows a significant, correlation / relationship ; (so) culling (infected) badgers lowers infection in cattle : 			
	3 (so) culling (infected) badgers lowers infection in cattle ;			
	max 2			
	does not support – graph			
	4 separated data / A–H and D–J are clustered ;			
	or			
	no data between 0.4 and 0.6 per km²;			
	5 data taken from different years ;			
	does not support – table			
	6 separated data / A–H and D–J, shows a negative, correlation / relationship ;			
	7 separated data / A–H and D–J, does not show a significant, correlation / relationship;			
	8 correlation does not mean causation ;			
	9 only data sets from 10 areas / only 10 out of 30 study areas sampled ;			
	10 data only from England / one country ;			