

### **Cambridge International AS & A Level**

#### BIOLOGY

Paper 5 Planning, Analysis and Evaluation MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 30 9700/51 May/June 2021

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2021 series for most Cambridge IGCSE<sup>™</sup>, Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

#### **Generic Marking Principles**

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:** 

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

#### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

#### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

#### Science-Specific Marking Principles

- 1 Examiners should consider the context and scientific use of any keywords when awarding marks. Although keywords may be present, marks should not be awarded if the keywords are used incorrectly.
- 2 The examiner should not choose between contradictory statements given in the same question part, and credit should not be awarded for any correct statement that is contradicted within the same question part. Wrong science that is irrelevant to the question should be ignored.
- 3 Although spellings do not have to be correct, spellings of syllabus terms must allow for clear and unambiguous separation from other syllabus terms with which they may be confused (e.g. ethane / ethene, glucagon / glycogen, refraction / reflection).
- 4 The error carried forward (ecf) principle should be applied, where appropriate. If an incorrect answer is subsequently used in a scientifically correct way, the candidate should be awarded these subsequent marking points. Further guidance will be included in the mark scheme where necessary and any exceptions to this general principle will be noted.

#### 5 <u>'List rule' guidance</u>

For questions that require *n* responses (e.g. State **two** reasons ...):

- The response should be read as continuous prose, even when numbered answer spaces are provided.
- Any response marked *ignore* in the mark scheme should not count towards *n*.
- Incorrect responses should not be awarded credit but will still count towards *n*.
- Read the entire response to check for any responses that contradict those that would otherwise be credited. Credit should **not** be awarded for any responses that are contradicted within the rest of the response. Where two responses contradict one another, this should be treated as a single incorrect response.
- Non-contradictory responses after the first *n* responses may be ignored even if they include incorrect science.

#### 6 <u>Calculation specific guidance</u>

Correct answers to calculations should be given full credit even if there is no working or incorrect working, **unless** the question states 'show your working'.

For questions in which the number of significant figures required is not stated, credit should be awarded for correct answers when rounded by the examiner to the number of significant figures given in the mark scheme. This may not apply to measured values.

For answers given in standard form (e.g.  $a \times 10^n$ ) in which the convention of restricting the value of the coefficient (a) to a value between 1 and 10 is not followed, credit may still be awarded if the answer can be converted to the answer given in the mark scheme.

Unless a separate mark is given for a unit, a missing or incorrect unit will normally mean that the final calculation mark is not awarded. Exceptions to this general principle will be noted in the mark scheme.

7 <u>Guidance for chemical equations</u>

Multiples / fractions of coefficients used in chemical equations are acceptable unless stated otherwise in the mark scheme.

State symbols given in an equation should be ignored unless asked for in the question or stated otherwise in the mark scheme.

Α	1. Correct	$\checkmark$		F	1. Correct	$\checkmark$	
	2. Correct	~	2	(4 responses)	2. Correct	✓	2
	3. Wrong	×			3. Correct CON (of 3.)	× (discount 3)	
В	1. Correct, Correct	√, √					I
(4 responses)	2. Correct	✓	3	G	1. Correct	✓	
,	2 Wrong	ianoro		(5 responses)	2. Correct	✓	_
С	3. Wrong 1. Correct	ignore √			3. Correct Correct CON (of 4.)	√ ignore ignore	3
(4 responses)	2. Correct, Wrong	√, ×	2		L		
	3. Correct	ignore		н	1. Correct	✓	
		5		(4 responses)	2. Correct	×	2
D	1. Correct	✓			3. CON (of 2.) Correct	(discount 2) ✓	
(4 responses)	2. Correct, CON (of 2.)	×, (discount 2)	2				
	3. Correct	$\checkmark$		I	1. Correct	✓	
_				(4 responses)	2. Correct	×	2
E	1. Correct	✓			3. Correct	✓	_
(4 responses)	2. Correct	$\checkmark$	3		CON (of 2.)	(discount 2)	
	3. Correct, Wrong	✓			L		

### Mark scheme abbreviations

;	separates marking points
/	alternative answers for the same point
R	reject
A	accept (for answers correctly cued by the question, or by extra guidance)
AW	alternative wording (where responses vary more than usual)
<u>underline</u> max	actual word given must be used by candidate (grammatical variants accepted) indicates the maximum number of marks that can be given
ora	or reverse argument
mp	marking point (with relevant number)
ecf	error carried forward
I	ignore

Question	Question Answer			
1(a)	0.5 cm <sup>3</sup> of the stock solution ;	2		
	<i>idea of</i> adding 9.5 cm <sup>3</sup> of buffer / water / filling to the 10 cm <sup>3</sup> mark with buffer / water (in a volumetric flask) ;			
1(b)(i)	idea that (result / it, is) quantitative / AW; ora			
1(b)(ii)	<i>independent variable:</i> <u>concentration</u> of ONPG / substrate ; <i>dependent variable:</i> absorbance (on colorimeter) ;			
1(c)(i)	<ul> <li>any eight from:</li> <li>ref. to preparing / using at least five concentrations of ONPG</li> <li>stated range of five dilutions, from 1%v down, with % units</li> <li>method of keeping β-galactosidase / enzyme, cold (prior to use);</li> <li><i>idea of</i> bringing enzyme and substrate to temperature / equilibrating, before mixing;</li> <li>method of keeping reactants / reaction mixture, at, constant / controlled / stated / standardised, temperature;</li> <li>same / constant / stated / standardised / known, volume / concentration / mass of, X / inhibitor;</li> <li>same / constant / stated / standardised / known, volume of, ONPG / substrate;</li> <li>same / constant / stated / standardised / known, volume / concentration, of β-galactosidase / enzyme;</li> <li><i>ref. to</i> measuring absorbance / intensity of colour (on colorimeter) after <u>one</u> set time;</li> <li>additional detail of use of colorimeter;</li> <li>repeat at least twice / three replicates, <u>and</u> calculate mean;</li> <li><i>idea of</i> repeating, whole experiment / range of concentrations, without X / inhibitor;</li> </ul>	8		
1(c)(ii)	<ol> <li>curve, to the right of / below, original curve ;</li> <li>curve / line, must meet the plateau of original curve on the graph ;</li> </ol>	2		
1(c)(iii)	<ol> <li>correct placement of V<sub>max</sub>;</li> <li>correct placement of K<sub>m</sub>;</li> </ol>	2		
1(c)(iv)	(reaction with competitive inhibitor / $X$ ) has higher / has increased / increases, K <sub>m</sub> value (compared to no inhibitor);	1		

Question	Answer	Marks
1(d)	assume ref. to PPI unless specific treatment stated any <b>three</b> from:	3
	<ul> <li><i>idea that</i> PPI overall is more effective / is immediately effective, on improvement / removal (of symptoms);</li> <li>either</li> <li>at 12 and 16 weeks / later, overlap of error bars means difference (in effect between PPI and H<sub>2</sub>RA) is not significant;</li> <li>or</li> <li>at 4 and 8 weeks / earlier, no overlap of error bars - suggesting difference (in effect, between PPI and H<sub>2</sub>RA) may be, significant;</li> <li>no statistical test to compare groups / t test, done (to test for significance);</li> <li><i>idea that</i> H<sub>2</sub>RA is catching up / may be better in the long term;</li> <li>AVP; ; any two from: <ul> <li>less than 50% patients reported no symptoms</li> <li>no information on severity of symptoms pre-trial</li> <li>no data on side effects</li> <li>size of the two test groups not given</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>no data on long term effects (where H<sub>2</sub>RA may be better)</li> </ul>	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(a)(i)	<i>idea of</i> compare / AW, observed and expected (data / results / ratios / phenotypes) <b>or</b> data is categoric / discrete / discontinuous / nominal ;	1
2(a)(ii)	<i>idea of</i> no (significant) difference between the observed and the expected (results) or <i>idea of</i> difference between observed and expected (results) is due to chance ;	1

Question			Answer		Marks	
2(a)(iii)	offspring phenotype	0	E	$\frac{\left(O-E\right)^2}{E}$	3	
	purple axial flowers	1756	1882 ( <b>A</b> 1881) (1881.56250)	8.44 (8.379175)		
	purple, terminal flowers	653	627 (627.18750)	1.08 (1.062338)		
	white, axial flowers	702	627 (627.18750)	8.97 (8.923823)		
	white, terminal flowers	234	209 ; (209.06250)	2.99 ; (2.974608)		
			$\chi^2 =$	21.48 ; (21.339944)		
	$\chi^2$ = 21.33 / 21.34 / 21.48 for mp3 (to allow for using calculator values or rounded values)					
2(a)(iv)	<u>7.82</u> ;				1	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(a)(v)	apply ecf as needed	3
	<ol> <li>reject (null hypothesis) and state that, the calculated value / χ<sup>2</sup>, is higher than, 7.82 / the critical value / the critical value they give in (iv) from the table;</li> <li><i>If null hypothesis rejected:</i> (between O and E): there is a significant difference / the difference is not due to chance <i>idea that</i> there is a less than 5% probability that the difference is due to chance / there is a more than 95% probability that the difference is not due to chance ;</li> </ol>	
	3 <i>From mp1 If null hypothesis rejected or mp2 If there is a significant difference / AW stated idea that:</i> genes are on the same chromosome / are not on different chromosomes / are linked / show autosomal linkage / do not assort independently ;	
	<i>A idea of,</i> 'may be / can be', throughout	